IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 11729 of 1998

Between:

1 Md. Bahadur s/o Md. Basha, R/o 32-13-28, Mogalrajpuram , Vijayawada, Krishna Dist.
2 G.Veera Venkateswara Rao S/o Lt. Venkateswara Rao, R/o 41-1-15/A, Vapaiahanagar ,Krishanlanka , Vijayawada.
3 Smt. V.Rajani W/o Mahesh, R/o H.No. 5220-55, Krishna Lanka , Vijayawada
4 V.A Prasad S/o Seethaiah, R/o 40-24-17-2, Ramalayam Street , Vijayawada.
5 Smt. K.V Dhanalakshmi W/o Suresh, R/o 603, Brindavan Apartments , Red Hills , Hyderabad
6 Md. Nawab S/o Md. Basha, R/o 32-13-28, Moghalrajpuram , Vijayawada
7 Md. Rahman S/o Md. Basha, R/o 32-13-28, Moghalrajpuram , Vijayawada Krishna Dist.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Govt. of A.P rep by Secretary
Transport Roads and Buildings , (Tr.II) Department Secretariat , Hyderabad
2 Transport Commissioner A.P Purgala Ramakrishan Rao Buildings Hyderabad
3 Director General of Police
Government of A.P Hyderabad
4 Deputy Transport Commissioner
Vijayawada Krishna dist.
5 Superintendent of Police
Rangareddy Dist.
6 Commissioner of Police
Vijayawada, Krishna Dist.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the

circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or order or direction, particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus for bearing the Respondents and their subordibnates from collecting compounding amounts in respect of the Contract Carriage vehicles of the Petitioners for the alleged violation of the Rule 185(3)(iii) of A.P Motor vehicle rules 1989 of seize the said vehicles by declaring the action of the respondents in this regard as violation of Article 14 and 19(1) (g) of the Constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.NOUSHAD ALI

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard both the learned counsel. Having regard to the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **State of Andhra Pradesh vs. B. Noorulla Khan**, no further orders are necessary in this writ petition.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

3—11—2004.

Stv/-

To

1 The Secretary, Govt. of A.P
Transport Roads and Buildings , (Tr.II) Department Secretariat ,
Hyderabad.

2 The Transport Commissioner A.P Purgala Ramakrishan Rao Buildings
Hyderabad.

3 The Director General of Police
Government of A.P Hyderabad.

4 The Deputy Transport Commissioner,
Vijayawada, Krishna dist.

5 The Superintendent of Police
Rangareddy Dist.

6 Commissioner of Police
Vijayawada, Krishna Dist.